

**U.S. Department of Transportation** 

## FHWA Connected-Automated Vehicle Research Updates

Clayton S. Chen, PhD, PE, PTOE

Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Office of Safety Research and Development (R&D)

June 13, 2019

## U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Automation Policy

- Automated Driving Systems 2.0 (ADS 2.0): A Vision for Safety (September 2017)
  - Clarifies Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment process.
  - Emphasizes motor vehicle safety.
- Automated Vehicles 3.0 (AV 3.0): Preparing for the Future of Transportation (October 2018)
  - Clarifies multimodal surface transportation.
  - Broadens considerations to reflect multimodal responsibilities (e.g., operations).



Source: USDOT

More information on AV 3.0 is available on the <u>National Highway Traffic Safety</u> <u>Administration (NHTSA) website</u>.

## **Preparing for the Future of Transportation**

### **Principles:**

- Prioritize safety.
- Remain technology neutral.
- Modernize regulations.
- Encourage a consistent regulatory and operational environment.
- Prepare proactively for automation.
- Protect and enhance the freedoms enjoyed by Americans.



## **Infrastructure Focus Areas**



TSMO = Transportation Systems Management and Operations ITS = Intelligent Transportation Systems

## **Research Approach and Highlights**

Exploring how to ensure safe, accessible, and efficient integration of automation.

# Scenario Planning for Connected and Automated Vehicles

**Purpose**: Equip agencies with information and tools to consider the uncertainties of CV/AV deployment.

- Created scenarios of potential CV/AV deployment.
- Conducted workshops to refine test scenarios.
- Assessed overarching scenario impacts and implications.

**Outputs:** Produce practitioner guidance/guidebook, qualitative assessment of impacts, and policy implications arising from future described in scenarios.



## **System and User Costs**

**Purpose**: Identify and analyze the potential system and user costs associated with the deployment of CV/AV technologies.

### **Outputs:**

- Methodology: Develop methodology to build on current CV/AV implementation scenarios as the framework for estimating costs to the government and users.
  - Establish statistical techniques and quantitative analyses.
  - Address costs to government (Federal, State, and local), private sector, and users.
- Analyses: Identify a list of costs and quantify their impacts using original thought and six scenarios from the Scenario Planning effort.
- Tool Development: Develop a user-friendly basic tool through which estimates of costs can be calculated.



## Impacts of AV on Highway Infrastructure

**Purpose:** Evaluate the interaction of vehicle automation and road infrastructure.

**Goal:** Develop practicable documentation and inform internal and external stakeholders about AV-related infrastructure needs.

**Objectives:** Assess and understand the demands and potential impacts of AVs on our current infrastructure assets and the potential needs and impacts of AVs on the future design of new infrastructure.



# Cooperative Automation Research Mobility Applications







#### **RESEARCH FOCUSED ON ARTERIAL AND FREEWAYS**

https://highways.dot.gov/research/researchprograms/operations/CARMA

100-00-00

9



- Introduction to Cooperative ADS.
- New Uses Cases for TSMO.

## Connectivity

**Today – Expensive Infrastructure** 

TMC: Traffic Management Center.

### Important for Managing Our Transportation System



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Tomorrow – Connectivity

Source: FHWA.



### TSMO OVERVIEW Transportation Systems Management and Operations

#### Strategies:

- Work Zone Management.
- Traffic Incident Management (TIM).
- Special Event Management.
- Road Weather Management.
- Transit Management.
- Freight Management.
- Traffic Signal Coordination.
- Traveler Information.

- Ramp Management.
- Congestion Pricing.
- Active Transportation and Demand Management.
- Integrated Corridor Management.
- Access Management.
- Improved Bicycle and Pedestrian Crossings.
- Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) Deployment.



## **EVOLUTION**



### CARMA1 (2014–2016)

- Initial proof of concept.
- Collection of individual applications.
- Simulink/dSpace running on MicroAutobox.
- Demonstration of several applications:
  - o Developed platooning algorithm.
  - Modified eco-approach and departure (EAD) algorithm.
  - Modified speed harmonization algorithm.
  - Modified lane change and merge algorithm.



### CARMA2 (2016–2018)

- Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Level 1 (L1) automation (speed control) and Level 2 (L2) capable.
- Built on top of Robot Operating System.
- Flexible can be installed on several types/modes of vehicles.
- Acceptance of third-party plugins for research applications (guidance algorithms).
- Inclusion of simple applications:
  - Cruising with adaptive cruise control (ACC).
  - Cooperative lane change.
  - Mixed platoons.
  - o Signalized intersections.
  - Speed harmonization.



### CARMA3 (2018–2020)

- SAE L2 automation (speed and steering control) and Level 3 (L3) capable.
- More sophisticated vocabulary of vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) cooperation.
- Enhanced lane change and merge/weave.
- Enhanced platooning (cars and trucks).
- Emphasis on infrastructure interactions for TSMO.
  - Work zones, TIM, weather events, etc.
- Emergency vehicle applications and interactions.





#### Advancing Cooperative ADS research with FHWA and FMCSA fleet and partnerships



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration FMCSA = Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

## **Truck Platooning**

**Objective:** Investigate the potential benefits of Level 1 truck platooning.

Findings (California Department of Transportation/California Partners for Advanced

Transportation Technology):

- Fuel savings platoon average of 5–6 percent.
- Mobility impacts average speed for all traffic increased.

### Ongoing:

- Human Factors studying the impact on other road users.
- USDOT developing a Truck Platooning Roadmap.

### Planned:

- USDOT will provide a Broad Agency Announcement for assessment of inservice truck platoons.
- USDOT will collaborate with FMCSA on future L2 operations.

Federal Highway Administration



Source: FHWA

## **Human Factors in Automation**

**Purpose**: Investigate critical human factors and safety automation issues related to light and heavy automated vehicles.

- Driver Acceptance of Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control.
- The Effects of Vehicle Automation on Driver Engagement.
- Lateral Control Systems Study.
- Driver Adaptation to Vehicle Automation (L1 and L2).
- Human Factors Issues Related to Truck Platooning Operations.

https://highways.dot.gov/research-programs/safety/human-factors



Source: FHWA

## **New L2/3 Automated Vehicles**





Source: FHWA

#### Safety/Human Factors research vehicle C

**Operations research vehicle** 

- The two Lexus RX 450h SUVs shown above have L2 vehicle automation (ACC and lane centering).
- L3 capability will be added soon with software to allow autonomous driving in certain situations.
- The Human Factors vehicle has an eye tracker to assess driver attention and distraction.

## Virtual Reality (VR) Bike and Ped Simulator









Source: FHWA

- Uses learning technology taken from the gaming industry.
- Includes a Highway Driving Simulator for Multiplayer/Multimodal Simulation Environment.



# SmartCross – Traffic Signal Interface on the Smartphone

- Developed by a Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) project.
- Enables pedestrians to safely navigate busy intersections using mobile application.
- Allows users to request pedestrian walk phase directly from their smartphone.





## Machine Vision System to Support Vehicleto-Infrastructure (V2I) Safety Applications

- Developed by a SBIR project. Phase 1: Proof of Concept.
- Develop an onboard vehicle machine vision system to identify and interpret specialized roadside static signage.
  - Standard-resolution camera can see and read static signs (i.e., MAP message via Roadside Equipment [RSE]).
  - Onvehicle logic will interpret the signage and execute appropriate function.
- Build a protocol for machine readable signs.



## **Accessible Transportation Technologies Research Initiative (ATTRI)**

#### **Enabling the Complete Trip**

#### **Current ATTRI Applications in Development**



Federal Highway Administration

## **Data For AV Integration**

Voluntary data exchanges to accelerate the safe integration of AVs.

### WORK ZONE DATA EXCHANGE

- Purpose
  - Adoption of a basic work zone data spec to be voluntary.

### Outcomes within 6 months

- Active work zone to provide data feed.
- Feed to be used in a meaningful way.
- Big Picture Outcome
  - Repeatable approach to harmonize local data.



Source: FHWA



ITS JPO Enterprise Data: https://www.its.dot.gov/research\_areas/enterprise.htm

## **V2I Deployment Benefits Tool**

**Vision:** Improve safety and mobility via voluntary deployment. **Objective:** Create a decision-support tool to select V2I applications.

### Status:

- Phase 1 Framework–Completed in October 2018.
- Phase 2 Prototyping–Kickoff in December 2018 will deliver:
  - A proof-of-concept working tool.
  - Partnership with IOOs for testing.
  - A draft Concept of Operations for the full tool.
  - A stronger business case for further customization and commercialization.



## CV Pooled Fund Study (PFS)

**Purpose:** CV PFS—a partnership of transportation agencies to facilitate the development and evaluation of CV applications.

**Goal:** Prototype and test practical infrastructure-oriented applications that lead to deployment. **Outputs:** 

- Development and demonstration of CV technology, algorithms, tools, and applications.
- Preparation for field deployments.
- Development and deployment documentation.
- Lessons learned and identification of challenges from field deployments.

**Members:** 27 Core Members—Virginia DOT (VDOT) (Lead), Associate Members, and Liaisons.



## CV PFS Program – I

Reports: The CV PFS Website http://www.cts.virginia.edu/cvpfs\_research/

### Phase I (7/2009-8/2012)

- CV Traffic Signal Control Algorithm.
- Pavement Maintenance Support Algorithm.
- Evaluation of Signal Phase and Timing Data.
- CV Certification Program.
- Aftermarket Onboard Equipment.

### Phase II (9/2012-1/2016)

- Traffic Management Centers in a CV Environment.
- 5.9 GHz Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC) Vehicle-Based Road and Weather Condition Application.
- Surveying/Mapping for CV Applications.



## **CV PFS Program – II**

### Phase III (2/2016-12/2018)

- Basic Infrastructure Message Development and Standards Support for CV Applications.
- 5.9 GHz DSRC Vehicle-Based Road and Weather Condition Application, Phase 2.
- Multimodal Intelligent Traffic Signal System, Phase 1 and 2.

### Phase IV (5/2018-12/2020)

- Multimodal Intelligent Traffic Signal System, Phase 3.
- Using Third Parties to Deliver V2I.
- Connected Traffic Control System (CTCS).
- V2I Queue Advisory/Warning.



## **Testing and Pilots**

## **Cooperative Automation Testing**

### Cooperative Automated Vehicle Testing with VDOT and Transurban

**Objective:** Investigate a combination of speed harmonization, platooning, and cooperative merging on a VA I–95 managed lane.

**Findings:** Initial tests and simulation studies showed a 50-percent increase in capacity is possible on the managed lane.



Original Photo © 2018 Google®

Source: FHWA

Google Maps Image of the Sites for the Cooperative Automated Vehicle Testing



### Cooperative Automation USE CASES

TSMO PROOF OF CONCEPT TESTING AND EVALUATION



#### Example scenarios:

- Engage in a platoon defined by a geofence.
- Leader maintains safe time gap.
- Followers maintain interplatoon time gap.
- Platoon size of two to five cars per lane.
- Possible maneuvers with other Cooperative ADS-equipped vehicles.



Work Zones

#### Example scenarios:

- Reduced command speed entering work zone.
- Defined by a stationary geofence.
- Lane change assignment prior to entering work zone.
- Maintain safe time gap throughout the work zone.
- Possible maneuvers with other CADSequipped vehicles.



3

Weather

#### Example scenarios:

- Reduced command speed entering low visibility weather.
- Defined by a dynamic geofence.
- Engage in larger time gap.
- Maintain lane guidance.
- Possible maneuvers with other CADSequipped vehicles.



4

TIM

#### Example scenarios:

- Reduced command speed entering traffic incident event.
- Determined by infield geofence.
- Lane change to provide space for first responders.
- Possible maneuvers with other CADSequipped vehicles.



## **CV Pilots Sites**



- Reduce the number and severity of adverse weather-related incidents on the I–80 corridor in order to improve safety and reduce incidentrelated delays.
- Focus on the needs of commercial vehicle operators in the State of Wyoming.



- Improve safety and mobility of travelers in New York City through CV technologies.
- Install V2V technology in up to 8,000 vehicles in Midtown Manhattan and V2I technology along high-accident rate arterials in Manhattan and Central Brooklyn.

Tampa (THEA) Tampa Hillsborough Expressway Authority



- Alleviate congestion and improve safety during morning commuting hours.
- Deploy a variety of CV technologies on and in the vicinity of reversible express lanes and three major arterials in downtown Tampa to solve transportation challenges.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Source: FHWA

## **CV Pilot Deployment Schedule**



#### Phase 1: Concept Development (COMPLETE)

Creates the foundational plan to enable further design and deployment.

Phase 2: Design/Deploy/Test

Ensures deployment functions as intended (both technically and institutionally) after testing.

• Phase 3: Maintain/Operate

Assesses the performance of the deployed system.

Postpilot Operations (CV tech integrated into operational practice).

https://www.its.dot.gov/pilots/

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Source: FHWA Last updated: June 12, 2018

## CV Pilots – Lessons Learned

- Prepare for concept evolution.
- Develop an approach to integrate CV pilot with existing TSMOs.
- Address commercial operators' priorities and concerns (privacy, liability, flexibility).
- Allow time for formalized agreements.
- Plan with postpilot operation in mind.
- Balance data needs (privacy/security versus performance measurement).



### Shyuan-Ren (Clayton) Chen, PhD

Roadway Team Leader FHWA, Office of Safety R&D

clayton.chen@dot.gov

## Thank you!

